

Trailblazing Net Zero Energy Early Learning Center

Prairie Trails School Mount Prospect, Illinois

October 7, 2022 v8 4:30- 5:30 pm CDT





Introductions

Ron Richardson, Moderator - Vice President, FGM Architects

Lyndl Schuster, Speaker - Asst. Supt for Business Services, River Trails School District 26

Dan Whisler, Speaker -Director of Buildings and Grounds, River Trails School District 26

Troy Kerr, Speaker - Vice President, FGM Architects

Jeff Oke, PE, LEED AP -Senior Principal / Client Executive IMEG Corp.









fgma





Agenda

- Introductions
- About District 26
- Sustainable Features
- **Envelope Modifications**
- Mechanical System Overview
- Building and Site Safety Improvements
- **ADA Improvements**
- **Operational Lessons Learned**
- **Cost Information**

FGMARCHITECTS

Prairie Trails Background:

- Building Area- Approx. 29,000 sf
- District Needed Classroom Space











- Suburban Chicago School District
- 1535 Students in 4 schools
- 1 Pre-K and K School (Prairie Trails)
- 1 Middle School (6-8)
- 49 languages spoken
- 25% Low Income
- 22% English Learners
- Average per-pupil expenditure: \$15,615











- U.S. Dept. of Education 2015 Green Ribbon School
 - **River Trails Middle School** *
- **Energy Star** Certification at all schools -
- U.S. Dept. of Energy -
 - Better Buildings Challenge Award
 - Achieve 20% Improvement in Energy Efficiency by 2026 .
 - Accomplished in 2015
 - New Goal of 30% by 2026
 - Better Climate Challenge participation
 - 2021 Building Envelope Campaign Awardee/Retro 50 *
- Illinois Clean Energy Community Foundation
 - \$2 million grant for Net Zero Energy Renovated School **

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

- Green Infrastructure Partnership Program *
 - Permeable Paver Parking Lot and Rain Gardens



Board



Students



Community



Adm inistration



Passionate Teachers













Sustainable Features



Performance Highlights

- **Baseline** ASHRAE 90.1 EUI
- Target EUI
- Baseline carbon footprint/year:
- Anticipated carbon footprint/year:
- Carbon footprint reduction
- Estimated annual energy savings to District 26:

- 75 kbtu/yr./sf
- 24-26 kbtu/yr./sf
- **174** metric tons
- -24 metric tons 100+%
- +/- \$30,000 /100% cost savings





Highlights:

- Completed summer 2021
- Net Zero energy usage
- No natural gas service to the school.
- Target EUI of 24 to 26



- Certification achieved through the **Passive House Institute US (PHIUS)**. The project meets PHIUS+ and PHIUS+ Source Zero performance criteria.
 - PHIUS+ is a "high-performance building standard" it challenges the building industry to construct buildings that can maintain a comfortable indoor environment with very low operating energy.

Highlights:

- Mechanical system
 - The existing hot water boiler system will be replaced with a **new electric variable refrigerant flow (VRF) system with heat recovery**. The VRF system is coupled with dedicated outside air units with energy recovery wheels for fresh air delivery. The multipurpose room will be conditioned by a single zone variable air volume packaged rooftop unit with energy recovery wheel and fresh air via demand control ventilation.
 - Code minimum: hot water boiler and air-cooled chiller serving unit ventilators
- New temperature controls: **load-specific electrical monitoring**, including plug loads, lighting loads, HVAC loads, and energy generation from the PV panels. Integration (monitoring and display) of the net-zero technologies will be through the BAS system. (The BAS system will monitor, not control, the solar panels)

- The remodeling will include new LED lighting, with light harvesting, to reduce energy consumption
- New solar panel system to produce electricity
 - New rooftop photovoltaic system to generate on-site renewable energy.

The annual production target is currently 227.1 MWh, which includes

a 15% buffer (grant- 10%)



Envelope Modifications



Existing Envelope











- Wall Insulation, Existing: None (uninsulated)
- Wall Insulation, Code: R-20 (Zone 5)
- Wall Insulation, Installed: R-24
- Air Barrier, Existing: None
- Air Barrier, Code: 0.04 cfm/sq. ft.
- Air Barrier, Installed: 0.004 cfm/sq. ft.
- Roof Insulation, Existing: R-4 (3/4" Rigid Insulation)
- Roof Insulation, Code: R-30
- Roof Insulation, Installed: R-65
- Window U-Value, Existing: None (single pane)
- Window U-Value, Code: U-0.38
- Window U-Value, Installed: U-0.12



- Wall Insulation, Existing: None (uninsulated)
- Wall Insulation, Code: R-20 (Zone 5)
- Wall Insulation, Installed: R-24
- Air Barrier, Existing: None
- Air Barrier, Code: 0.04 cfm/sq. ft.
- Air Barrier, Installed: 0.004 cfm/sq. ft.
- Roof Insulation, Existing: R-4 (3/4" Rigid Insulation)
- Roof Insulation, Code: R-30
- Roof Insulation, Installed: R-65
- Window U-Value, Existing: None (single pane)
- Window U-Value, Code: U-0.38
- Window U-Value, Installed: U-0.12



- Wall Insulation, Existing: None (uninsulated)
- Wall Insulation, Code: R-20 (Zone 5)
- Wall Insulation, Installed: R-24
- Air Barrier, Existing: None
- Air Barrier, Code: 0.04 cfm/sq. ft.
- Air Barrier, Installed: 0.004 cfm/sq. ft.
- Roof Insulation, Existing: R-4 (3/4" Rigid Insulation)
- Roof Insulation, Code: R-30
- Roof Insulation, Installed: R-65
- Window U-Value, Existing: None (single pane)
- Window U-Value, Code: U-0.38
- Window U-Value, Installed: U-0.12



- Wall Insulation, Existing: None (uninsulated)
- Wall Insulation, Code: R-20 (Zone 5)
- Wall Insulation, Installed: R-24
- Air Barrier, Existing: None
- Air Barrier, Code: 0.04 cfm/sq. ft.
- Air Barrier, Installed: 0.004 cfm/sq. ft.
- Roof Insulation, Existing: R-4 (3/4" Rigid Insulation)
- Roof Insulation, Code: R-30
- Roof Insulation, Installed: R-65
- Window U-Value, Existing: None (single pane)
- Window U-Value, Code: U-0.38
- Window U-Value, Installed: U-0.12







the present with first table. Here, with sheat watch a watch is which they are exceeded with other second within, they from 4 West they also been. The second watch present the 10 West the second watch watch with the second watch paper is second with the second watch paper is second with the second watch paper is second with the second watch paper

ical system Overvie



Mechanical System Selection

System/ Dant	EU		Energy Cost		
System Fianc	(kBtu/sqft/yr)	% Savings		(\$/ yr)	% Savings
Baseline: 90.1-2013	75	-	\$	30,128	-
Single Pipe Hybrid Geothermal	20	74%	\$	21,854	27%
Single Pipe 100% Geothermal	20	74%	\$	21,682	28%
VRF Hybrid Geothermal	17	78%	\$	18,264	39%
VRF 100% Geothermal	16	78%	\$	18,026	40%
VRF Air Cooled	23	69%	\$	25,327	16%

Energy Source	Utility Costs				
Electric	\$0.086 per kWh	\$0.025 per kBtu			
Natural Gas	\$0.386 per therm	\$0.004 per kBtu			

Envelope Assumptions				
Exterior Wall:	R-18 (U-0.055)			
Roof:	U-0.032			
Windows:	U-0.42 and SHGC: 0.4			
Window to Wall Ratio:	35%			





Dedicated Outside Air System (DOAS)

DOAS Components:

ECM Motor Fan Electronically Commutated Motor

Energy Recovery Wheel All building exhaust is recovered

Digital Scroll Compressors

Heat Pump Heating Coefficient of Performance of 2.3 Operates in heating down to 0°F





Dedicated Outdoor Air Systems



Energy Efficient Lighting and DHW

- All LED Lighting
- All lighting on vacancy sensor or occupancy sensor where possible
- DHW loop on thermostat-controlled "on demand" system
- Measurement and verification electrical panels for energy monitoring





Credible Treat 1: Hazardous Materials

- Mt. Prospect First Responders were concerned that the school's proximity to the train tracks put the students and staff at risk in the event of a derailment
- While the school's location isn't changing, provisions can be put into place to address this type of threat
- The rework of Park View allows the opportunity to replace and modernize the HVAC system and controls. This would include the ability to shut down all outside air, allowing the occupants to shelter-n-place in the building.



Credible Treat 1: Hazardous Materials



- o Credible Treat 2: Intruders
 - The new project assumes that Park view becomes a single-tenant building. This was the single greatest improvement to building security.
 - The new project improves the school office to provide visual supervision of the entry and the parking lot.
 - Reconfiguration of the District Administration space provides the opportunity to redesign the security between the school and the Administrative office.









• Credible Threat 3: Transportation Issues



The existing site layout combined parent and bus drop off, as well as drop off for a separate use. This created confusion and increased the likelihood of traffic issues.

o Credible Threat 3: Transportation Issues



The new design has separate and distinct traffic circulation for cars and buses, as well as ample vehicle queuing space on the school property.



• Credible Threat 3: Transportation Issues



The existing building had no playground for students on the property. Students had to cross the maintenance yard to access the adjacent public park.

• Credible Threat 3: Transportation Issues



The PK/K playground was relocated to an area adjacent to the building, lowering the likelihood of a pedestrian/vehicle accident. The playground is also fully enclosed by a fence.

o Credible Threat 3: Transportation Issues

Existing site layout

- Bus storage/Maintenance yard
- No clear delineation between parent and bus traffic
- No student-centric amenities play equipment access on adjacent Park District property



o Credible Threat 3: Transportation Issues

New site layout

- Separate entrances and queuing areas for parent and bus traffic
- Clear markings for pedestrian walks, with protective bollards
- Large natural playground
- Improved lighting and camera positions



fgma



Improving Accessibility

- Parking Access
- Improved clearances
- Toilet room improvements
- Acoustic improvements (HVAC noise reduction)
- Variety of seating choices
- Playground access

Improving Accessibility

- Parking Access
- Improved clearances
- Toilet room improvements
- Acoustic improvements (HVAC noise reduction)
- Variety of seating choices
- Playground access

fgma

Ongoing Maintenance Consideration

Ongoing Maintenance Considerations

- **Deferred Maintenance is not an option**
 - Air makeup units and refrigeration units must be
 - inspected
 - tuned
 - kept in perfect condition.
- Continuous building envelope inspections are a priority
 - Areas to monitor:
 - door and window seals
 - door thresholds
 - caulk joints
 - mechanical dampers.

Ongoing Maintenance Considerations

- Monitoring, trending and responding to BAS information is critical
 - The energy load and solar generation reporting will help identify system issues early, and help meet annual energy goals.
 - Need to expect building control challenges. These Ο systems are complex and need tuning.
 - Include complete BAS scope that includes all tools and Ο points necessary to maximize building efficiencies.
 - Provide staff time and expertise to assure successful Ο start up and system adjustments
 - Consistent adjustments of the building occupation Ο schedule.

Ongoing Maintenance Considerations

- Building content must be monitored to ensure <u>NO</u>
 - personal refrigerators
 - microwaves
 - heaters and fans
 - Monitor seep mode, enabled
 - All of which will increase the building mechanical and electrical load.

January to Mid September Performance

March to September Performance

Cost Data

Cost Data

Project Cost as of 11.11.20

1	Hard Costs		cost	ar
	a	Total Hard Cost	\$11,119,985	\$28
2	So	oft Costs		
	a	Total Soft Cost	\$1,869,522	\$28
3	Тс	otal Project Cost	\$12,989,507	\$28
4	G	rants:		
	а	Net Zero Energy (maximum allowance)	-\$2,000,000	\$28
	b	ISBE School Maintenance Grant	-\$50,000	\$28
	С	ComEd Energy Grant	-\$49,800	\$28
	d	Total value of grants	-\$2,099,800	\$28
5	Sı	ummary	\$10,889,707	\$28

Questions

Lyndl Schuster, Asst Supt for Business Services; River Trails SD26; (224) 612-7302; lschuster@rtsd26.org

Dan Whisler, Director of Buildings & Grounds; River Trails SD26; (847) 297-4120; <u>dwhisler@rtsd26.org</u>

Ron Richardson, Principal; FGM Architects; (630) 779-9018; <u>ronr@fgmarchitects.com</u>

Troy Kerr, Project Manager, FGM Architects; (630) 368-8323 ; <u>troyk@fgmarchitects.com</u>

Jeff Oke, Principal; IMEG Corp.; (630) 430-3524; jeff.m.oke@imegcorp.com

